



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,080	2,080 01/20/2004		Jason Collins	8713-PA01	4613
27111	7590	03/17/2005		EXAMINER	
GORDON &	& REES	LLP	PATEL, MITAL B		
101 WEST E	ROADW	/AY		<u></u>	
SUITE 1600			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101				3743	
	•				

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

δH

			,,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	10/762,080	COLLINS, JASON	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mital B. Patel	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tote, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	December 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,12 and 17-24</u> is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.2.7-10 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	·	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	·
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
		<u> </u>	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment/Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 12/20/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1,2,7,8,9,10,13,14,15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Crofutt (US 145,337).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crofutt (US 145,337).
- 4. As to claim 1, Crofutt teaches a protective mask for resisting biting by a detainee, the mask comprising: a panel of flexible, breathable (See Col. 2) material B shaped to extend across and cover the nose, mouth and cheeks of a wearer; the panel having an upper edge for extending across the bridge of a wearer's nose, a lower edge for locating at or below a wearer's chin, opposite sides for positioning adjacent the ears of the wearer, an inner face for facing towards a wearer's face, and an outer face (See Fig. 1); at least one fastener strap C extending between the opposite sides of the panel for extending around the back of a wearer's head in order to secure the panel in position

Application/Control Number: 10/762,080

edge of the panel to allow escape of body fluids.

Art Unit: 3743

across a wearer's face; and the panel having a central region which is folded about an axis extending from the lower edge towards the upper edge of the panel to provide a tented, open chamber facing a wearer's face and extending below the nose and over the mouth of the wearer, the tented chamber having an open lower end at the lower

Page 3

- 5. As to claim 2, Crofutt teaches a mask, wherein the panel is of a breathable, flexible fabric material to allow external air to freely enter the chamber (See Col. 1, last paragraph and Col. 2).
- 6. **As to claim 7**, Crofutt teaches a mask, wherein the fastener strap is of elastic strip material (**See Col. 2**, **line 5**).
- As to claim 9, Crofutt teaches a mask, wherein at least one fastener strap extends between opposite sides of the panel at locations adjacent the upper edge of the panel, whereby the upper edge of the panel is held closely against the wearer's face when the mask is worn (See Fig. 1).
- 8. **As to claim 10**, Crofutt teaches a mask, wherein the lower edge of the panel hangs free and unsecured when the mask is worn (**See Fig. 1**).
- 9. As to claim 13, Crofutt teaches a mask wherein the panel comprises two layers of material (the Examiner considers the teaching in Col. 2. of the curtain being made from a filling or lining to be the second layer) secured together around at least the upper, lower and side edges of the panel. However, if Applicant disagrees with the Examiner's reading of the Crofutt teaching, then the Examiner would like to draw Applicant's attention to the disclosure on page 6 and 7 of the specification which

Application/Control Number: 10/762,080 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

teaches that the mask may be a single layer of material, thereby teaching away from a criticality that the mask must be made of two layers.

- 10. **As to claim 14**, Crofutt teaches a mask, wherein the panel is formed from a single sheet of material folded in half lengthwise to form said two layers. It should be noted that how the panel is formed is not given patentable weight in a product claim. Rather, patentable weight is given to the end product in this case the end product being two layers, which is taught by Crofutt, see Fig. 1. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted).
- 11. **As to claim 15**, Crofutt teaches a mask, wherein the fastener strap comprises an elastic member extending between the two layers of material across the upper edge of the panel and outwardly from opposite sides of the panel for extending around the back of the wearer's head.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/762,080

Art Unit: 3743

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

13. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 14. Claims 8 and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crofutt (US 145,337).
- 15. As to claims 8 and 16, Crofutt teaches essentially all of the limitations except for including two spaced fastener straps extending from each side of the panel. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide additional straps for added securement. Furthermore, the use of multiple straps is well known in the respiratory mask art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 16. Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 17. Claims 11, 12, and 17-24 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 18. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to claims 3, 17 and 24, the prior art of record does not teach nor render

Art Unit: 3743

obvious the overall claimed combination of a mask including an elongate strip member secured to the central region of the inner face of the panel to extend transversely across the mouth of the wearer and resisting biting by the wearer through the panel. As to claim 11, the prior art of record does not teach nor render obvious the overall claimed combination of a mask including a fold-forming strip of material secured between opposing, spaced portions of the inner face of the panel in the central region to hold the central region in a folded condition.

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 5706802, US 5595174, US 5099525, US 5058211, US 3249108, US 3058463, US 2566557, US 2038310, US 1150991, and US 319338.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mital B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-4802. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (11:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,080

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3743

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

> Mital B. Patel Examiner

Art Unit 3743